# Briefing Book Addendum October 1, 2024 for October 3 meeting

115-25-8. Elk; open season, bag limit, and permits. (a) The unit designations in this regulation shall have the meanings specified in K.A.R. 115-4-6b, except that the area of Fort Riley, subunit 2a, shall not be included as part of Republican-Tuttle, unit 2.

- (b) The open seasons for the taking of elk shall be as follows:
- (1) The archery season dates and units shall be as follows:
- (A) Statewide, except Fort Riley, subunit 2a, and unit 1: the Monday after the second Saturday in September through the last day of the same year.
  - (B) Fort Riley, subunit 2a: the first day of September through the last day of September.
  - (2) The firearm season dates and units shall be as follows:
- (A) Statewide, except Fort Riley, subunit 2a, and unit 1: the first day of August through the last day of August; the Wednesday after Thanksgiving through the second following Sunday; and the first day of January through the 15th day of March.
  - (B) Fort Riley, subunit 2a:
  - (i) First segment: the first day of October through the last day of October.
  - (ii) Second segment: the first day of November through the last day of November.
  - (iii) Third segment: the first day of December through the last day of December.
  - (iv) Fourth segment: the first day of January through the last day of January.
  - (3) The muzzleloader season dates and units shall be as follows:
- (A) Statewide, except Fort Riley, subunit 2a, and unit 1: the first day of September through the last day of September.
  - (B) Fort Riley, subunit 2a: the first day of September through the last day of September.

APPROVED

**APPROVED** 

JUL 1 6 2024

AUG 0 1 2024

- (c) A limited-quota either-sex elk permit shall be valid during any season using equipment authorized for that season. Twelve either-sex elk permits shall be authorized.
- (d) A limited-quota antlerless-only elk permit shall be valid during any season using equipment authorized for that season, except that a limited-quota antlerless-only elk permit shall be valid on Fort Riley, subunit 2a, only as follows:
- (1) A first-segment antlerless-only elk permit shall be valid on Fort Riley, subunit 2a, only during the first segment. Six first-segment antlerless-only elk permits shall be authorized.
- (2) A second-segment antlerless-only elk permit shall be valid on Fort Riley, subunit 2a, only during the second segment. Six second-segment antlerless-only elk permits shall be authorized.
- (3) A third-segment antlerless-only elk permit shall be valid on Fort Riley, subunit 2a, only during the third segment. Six third-segment antlerless-only elk permits shall be authorized.
- (4) All antlerless-only elk permits shall be valid on Fort Riley, subunit 2a, during the September archery and muzzleloader seasons, and the January firearm season.
  - (e) The bag limit shall be one elk as specified on the permit issued to the permittee.
- (f) An unlimited number of hunt-on-your-own-land antlerless-only elk permits and eithersex elk permits shall be authorized in units 2 and 3. A hunt-on-your-own-land permit shall be valid during any open season. The bag limit for each hunt-on-your-own-land elk permit shall be one elk as specified on the permit.
- (g) An unlimited number of over-the-counter antlerless-only elk permits and either-sex elk permits shall be authorized in unit 3.

**APPROVED** 

APPROVED

JUL 1 6 2024

AUG 0 1 2024

| (h) Permits are not valid after N    | March 15 following the date of issuance. (Authorized by              |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| and implementing K.S.A. 2023 Supp. 3 | 32-807 <del>, as amended by L. 2023, ch. 7, sec. 28,</del> and K.S.A |
| 32-937 <del>-;</del> effective T     | .)   |

**APPROVED** 

JUL 16 2024

APPROVED

AUG 01 2024

**APPROVED** 

SEP 2 4 2024

ATTORNEY GENERAL

## **Kansas Administrative Regulations Economic Impact Statement (EIS)**

| Kansas D<br>Agency     | epartment (   | of Wildlife and Parks  | <u>Kurtis Wiard</u><br>Agency Contact  | Co   | 785.296.1032 ontact Phone Number   |
|------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 115-25-8<br>K.A.R. Num |   |  |  | ☐ Permanent  | ⊠ Temporary  |
|                        | _   | oposed rule(s) and regulatir implementing a federally  | •  | _  | as a requirement   |
| □ Yes                  | in the revi<br>approval i                             | tinue to fill out the remaining iew process to the Depart s not required; however, the at the end of the review p  | ment of Administration a<br>he Division of the Budget  | and the Attorney   | General. Budget  |
| ⊠ No                   | regulation<br>million or<br>incurred b<br>of the proj | the total annual implements, calculated from the eremore in implementation by or passed along to busing posed rule and regulation differentiation(s) (as calculated)   | effective date of the rule(s)<br>and compliance costs the<br>nesses, local governmenta<br>over the initial five-year p   | s) and regulation<br>at are reasonably<br>al units and indivi  | (s), exceed \$1.0 expected to be duals as a result   |
|                        | □ Yes   | and regulation(s) has bee rule(s) and regulation(s) a for participating in or in described in K.S.A. 77-4 and regulation(s) adopted rules and regulations ac Remediation Board). Co the regulation packet in t | r shall not adopt the rule(s) on ratified by the Legislaturare: 1) mandated by the feet aplementing a federally such 16(b)(1)(B), and amendment of the pursuant to K.S.A. 77-72 dopted pursuant to K.S. antinue to fill out the remains the review process to the Influence of the submitted EIS will be prapproval. | tre with a bill, unlederal government ubsidized or assistents thereto; 2) to 22, and amendment A. 2-3710 (Kanstning EIS form to Department of Ad | ess the proposed<br>as a requirement<br>sted program, as<br>emporary rule(s)<br>nts thereto; or 3)<br>sas Agricultural<br>be included with<br>ministration and |
|                        | ⊠ No  | packet submitted in the  | out the remaining form to<br>review process to the Depa<br>submitted EIS will be analy   | artment of Admin   | istration and the  |

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

**APPROVED** 

SEP 2 4 2024

### Section I

Analysis, brief description, and cost and benefit quantification of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s). If the approach chosen by the Kansas agency to address the policy issue is different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or of the federal government, the economic impact statement shall include an explanation of why the Kansas agency's rule and regulation differs.

The proposed regulation establishes open seasons, bag limits, and permit conditions for elk hunting in Kansas. Previously, the regulation provided firearm elk hunting seasons for Fort Riley in the months of October, November, and December. The proposed regulation would add a January firearm season for Fort Riley.

### **Section II**

Explain whether the proposed rule and regulation is mandated by federal law as a requirement for participating in or implementing a federally subsidized or assisted program and whether the proposed rules and regulations exceed the requirements of applicable federal law.

No applicable federal law exists. Contiguous states have varying regulations pertaining to elk hunting seasons, permits, and requirements. This regulation is standard relative to recent seasons in Kansas except that it establishes a new, one-month season at Fort Riley during which any unfilled permits valid on the Fort may be used.

#### Section III

Agency analysis specifically addressing the following:

A. The extent to which the rule(s) and regulation(s) will enhance or restrict business activities and growth;

This regulation will have a positive impact on business activities, by adding 31 days of elk hunting on Fort Riley. The added days of hunting will increase the revenue of businesses associated with elk hunting in Kansas.

B. The economic effect, including a detailed quantification of implementation and compliance costs, on the specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local governments that will be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) and on the state economy as a whole;

The proposed regulation could have a collateral positive impact on service stations, grocery stores, restaurants, hotels, motels, and possibly outfitters.

- C. Businesses that would be directly affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s);
  - The businesses that would be directly affected by this proposed regulation are landowners and outfitters.
- D. Benefits of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) compared to the costs;

The proposed regulation establishes an elk hunting season. Without the regulation, elk populations will rise and negatively impact agriculture producers and motorists. In addition, the positive economic impacts associated with elk hunting would not be realized without the regulation.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

**APPROVED** 

SEP 2 4 2024

E. Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on business and economic development within the State of Kansas, local government, and individuals;

There are no negative costs and impacts on businesses associated with this regulation. The costs to individuals were estimated using the previous season's elk permits purchased broken down as follows:

| Type of Permit*                         | Price of<br>Permit | Permits<br>Purchased<br>OTC | Total Revenue of OTC | Permits Purchased Following Draw  | Total Revenue of<br>Draw |
|---|--------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---|--------------------------|
| Hunt-on-your-own-land:<br>either-sex    | \$150              | 19                          | \$2,850              | eryman essencenia fizika ari Melanaria sude antidistri, kina di Laba assisti ali 1564. Eti baci |                          |
| Hunt-on-your-own-land: antlerless-only  | \$75               | 5                           | \$375                |   |                          |
| Landowner/tenant: either-<br>sex        | \$150              | 37                          | \$5,550              | 1   | \$150                    |
| General resident: eithersex             | \$300              | 26                          | \$7,800              | 11  | \$3,300                  |
| General resident youth: either-sex      | \$125              | 11                          | \$1,375              |   |                          |
| General resident:<br>antlerless-only    | \$150              | 9                           | \$1,350              | 18  | \$2,700                  |
| Landowner/tenant:<br>antlerless-only    | \$75               | 7                           | \$525                |   |                          |
| General resident youth: antlerless-only | \$50               | 3                           | \$150                |   |                          |
| Nonresident tenant: antlerless-only     | \$150              | 1                           | \$150                |   |                          |
| Nonresident tenant:<br>either-sex       | \$300              | 30                          | \$9,000              |   |                          |
| TOTAL                                   |                    | 148                         | \$29,125             | 30  | \$6,180                  |
| GRAND TOTAL<br>PERMITS                  |                    |                             |                      |   | 178                      |
| GRAND TOTAL<br>REVENUE                  |                    |                             |                      |   | \$37,085                 |

<sup>\*</sup>There is a \$10 application fee for elk permits.

F. An estimate of the total annual implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to businesses, local governments, or individuals. *Note:* Do not account for any actual or estimated cost savings that may be realized. Implementation and

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

**APPROVED** 

SEP 2 4 2024

compliance costs determined shall be those additional costs reasonably expected to be incurred and shall be separately identified for the affected businesses, local governmental units, and individuals.

Costs to Affected Businesses – \$0

Costs to Local Governmental Units – \$0

Costs to Individuals – \$37,085

Total Annual Costs – \$37,085

(sum of above amounts)

Give a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the above cost estimate.

This was the cost of 178 elk hunting permits sold to hunters in 2023. The money accrues to KDWP's Wildlife Fee Fund.

| □ Yes           | If the total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$1.0 million or more in       |
|-----------------|--|
| □ No            | implementation and compliance costs over the initial five-year period following        |
|                 | adoption of such rule(s) and regulation(s) that are reasonably expected to be incurred |
| $\boxtimes$ Not | by or passed along to businesses, local governmental units and individuals as a result |
| Applicable      | of the proposed rule and regulation, did the agency hold a public hearing to find that |
|                 | the estimated costs have been accurately determined and are necessary for achieving    |
|                 | legislative intent? If applicable, document when the public hearing was held, those in |
|                 | attendance, and any pertinent information from the hearing.                            |
|                 |  |

If applicable, click here to enter public hearing information.

Provide an estimate to any changes in aggregate state revenues and expenditures for the implementation of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), for both the current fiscal year and next fiscal year.

No changes are anticipated relative to previous years' permit sales.

Provide an estimate of any immediate or long-range economic impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on any individual(s), small employers, and the general public. If no dollar estimate can be given for any individual(s), small employers, and the general public, give specific reasons why no estimate is possible.

No economic impact to any individuals, small employers, and the general public is anticipated.

G. If the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) increases or decreases revenues of cities, counties or school districts, or imposes functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts that will increase expenditures or fiscal liability, describe how the state agency consulted with the League of Kansas Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the Kansas Association of School Boards.

No change is anticipated in revenues for cities, counties, or school districts.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

APPROVED

SEP 2 4 2024

Н. Describe how the agency consulted and solicited information from businesses, business associations, local governmental units, state agencies, or institutions and members of the public that may be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) or may provide relevant information.

> New releases statewide, public hearings with public online access, publication in the Kansas Register and on the agency website. The regulation was presented three times at Commission meetings.

### **Section IV**

| Does tl | ne Economic Impact Statement involve any environmental rule(s) and regulation(s)?  |
|---------|--|
| ☐ Yes   | If yes, complete the remainder of Section IV.  |
| ⊠ No    | If no, skip the remainder of Section IV.   |
| A.      | Describe the capital and annual costs of compliance with the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), and the individuals or entities who would bear the costs. |

В. Describe the initial and annual costs of implementing and enforcing the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), including the estimated amount of paperwork, and the state agencies, other governmental agencies, or other individuals who will bear the costs.

Click here to enter agency response.

Click here to enter agency response.

Describe the costs that would likely accrue if the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) are not adopted, C. the individuals or entities who will bear the costs and who will be affected by the failure to adopt the rule(s) and regulation(s).

Click here to enter agency response.

D. Provide a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the costs used.

Click here to enter agency response.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP **APPROVED** 

SFP 2 4 2024

### **Kansas Administrative Regulations Economic Impact Statement**

Public Hearing Certification (To be completed after the public hearing)

Agency: Kansas Department of Wildlife and Parks

Agency Contact: Kurtis Wiard

Phone Number or Email: 785.296.1032

**K.A.R. Number(s):** 115-25-8

Public Hearing Date: Select date

Public Hearing Time: Click here to start typing

Public Hearing Location: Click here to start typing

Public Hearing Attendance: Click here to start typing

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

APPROVED

SEP 2 4 2024

**115-25-9a.** Deer; open season, bag limit, and permits; additional considerations; military subunits. (a) In addition to the pre-rut antlerless whitetail deer only season specified in K.A.R. 115-25-9, in the Fort Riley subunit the antlerless white-tailed deer only season shall also be November 24, 2023November 29, 2024, through November 26, 2023December 1, 2024.

- (b) In the Fort Riley subunit, the open firearm season for the taking of deer shall be December 16, 2023 December 14, 2024, through December 23, 2023 December 22, 2024. A deer hunter may use only one white tailed antlerless only permit in Fort Riley.
  - (c) Five antlerless-only white-tailed deer permits shall be valid in subunit 8A.
- (e)(d) In the Fort Leavenworth subunit, the open firearm season for the taking of deer shall be November 11, 2023 November 16, 2024, through November 12, 2023 November 17, 2024; November 18, 2023 November 23, 2024, through November 19, 2023 November 24, 2024; November 23, 2023 November 28, 2024, through November 26, 2023 December 1, 2024; December 2, 2023 December 7, 2024, through December 3, 2023 December 8, 2024; and December 9, 2023 December 14, 2024, through December 10, 2023 December 15, 2024.
- (d)(e) In the Fort Leavenworth subunit, the extended firearms season for the taking of antlerless-only white-tailed deer shall be January 1, 2024 January 1, 2025, through January 22, 2024 January 19, 2025.
- (e)(f) In the Fort Leavenworth subunit, the extended archery season for the taking of antlerless-only white-tailed deer shall be January 22, 2024 January 20, 2025, through January 31, 2025.
- (f)(g) In the Smoky Hill subunit, the open firearm season for the taking of deer shall be November 29, 2023December 4, 2024, through December 10, 2023December 15, 2024. Five

APPROVED

**APPROVED** 

JUL 1 6 2024

AUG 0 1 2024

additional antlerless white-tailed deer permits shall be valid in subunit 4a. This regulation shall have no force and effect on and after March 1, 2024 March 1, 2025. (Authorized by and implementing K.S.A. 2023 Supp. 32-807, as amended by L. 2023, ch. 7, sec. 28, and K.S.A. 32-937; amended Aug. 18, 2023, enacted T-\_\_\_\_\_\_.)

**APPROVED** 

**APPROVED** 

JUL 1 6 2024

AUG 01 2024

**APPROVED** 

SEP 0 9 2024

**DEPT. OF ADMINISTRATION** 

ATTORNEY GENERAL

### **Kansas Administrative Regulations Economic Impact Statement (EIS)**

| Kansas Department of Wildlife and Parks Agency |   | of Wildlife and Parks  | Dan Riley<br>Agency Contact   | Co  | 785.296.1032 ontact Phone Number   |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| 115-25-9a<br>K.A.R. Number(s)                  |   |  |   | ☐ Permanent   | □ Temporary  |
| Io   | /Are the pro  | oposed rule(s) and regulation(s)   | mandated by the fed   | leral government  | as a requirement   |
|  |   | r implementing a federally subst   |   |   | as a requirement   |
| □ Yes  | in the revi   | tinue to fill out the remaining for<br>iew process to the Department<br>s not required; however, the Div<br>at the end of the review process   | of Administration a<br>vision of the Budget   | nd the Attorney (   | General. Budget  |
| ⊠ No   | regulation<br>million or<br>incurred b<br>of the proj | the total annual implementation (s), calculated from the effective more in implementation and cy or passed along to businesses posed rule and regulation over the tregulation (s) (as calculated in S)   | ve date of the rule(seempliance costs the local governmental he initial five-year p   | s) and regulation(<br>at are reasonably<br>I units and indivi   | (s), exceed \$1.0 expected to be duals as a result   |
|  | □ Yes   | If "Yes," then the agency shall and regulation(s) has been ratif rule(s) and regulation(s) are: 1) for participating in or implement described in K.S.A. 77-416(b) and regulation(s) adopted pursurules and regulations adopted Remediation Board). Continue the regulation packet in the revethe Attorney General. The surplication of the Budget for apprentice. | mandated by the federating a federally successful (1)(B), and amendment to K.S.A. 77-72 dispursuant to K.S.A. to fill out the remains few process to the Element to EIS will be | re with a bill, unled<br>leral government absidized or assistents thereto; 2) to<br>22, and amendment<br>A. 2-3710 (Kansming EIS form to learn to l | ess the proposed<br>as a requirement<br>sted program, as<br>emporary rule(s)<br>ats thereto; or 3)<br>sas Agricultural<br>be included with<br>ministration and |
|  | ⊠ No  | If no, continue to fill out the packet submitted in the review Attorney General. The submit for approval.  | v process to the Depa   | artment of Admin  | istration and the  |
| DOB APPROVA                                    | AL STAMP  |  |   |   |  |

DIVISION OF THE BUDGET

**APPROVED** 

SEP 0 9 2024

### Section I

Analysis, brief description, and cost and benefit quantification of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s). If the approach chosen by the Kansas agency to address the policy issue is different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or of the federal government, the economic impact statement shall include an explanation of why the Kansas agency's rule and regulation differs.

This regulation sets the dates for deer hunting seasons on military subunits when those dates differ from the statewide season. Different dates in these subunits are requested by Department of Defense officials and are utilized to allow deer hunting activities to occur while minimizing or eliminating conflicts with DOD missions and provide greater opportunity for active service members to hunt in these subunits. Implementation of this regulation is separate from standard statewide seasons and done as late as possible prior to implementation to minimize possible conflicts from changing DOD mission needs.

#### Section II

Explain whether the proposed rule and regulation is mandated by federal law as a requirement for participating in or implementing a federally subsidized or assisted program and whether the proposed rules and regulations exceed the requirements of applicable federal law.

It is not mandated for that purpose.

#### **Section III**

Agency analysis specifically addressing the following:

- A. The extent to which the rule(s) and regulation(s) will enhance or restrict business activities and growth;
  - No specific enhancement or restriction of any business activities is anticipated from this regulation.
- B. The economic effect, including a detailed quantification of implementation and compliance costs, on the specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local governments that will be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) and on the state economy as a whole;
  - No economic effect nor any implementation or compliance costs are expected and the impact on the state economy if any will be minimal.
- C. Businesses that would be directly affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s);
  - Businesses that engage in sales to military personnel that participate in the deer season may be minimally impacted.
- D. Benefits of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) compared to the costs;
  - The benefits of the proposed regulation are providing the hunting season dates requested by the Department of Defense. There are no anticipated costs to provide those dates.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

APPROVED

SEP 0 9 2024

| Е. | Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on business and economic development within the State of Kansas, local government, and individuals; |
|----|--|
|    | Negligible impact is anticipated and no measures are necessary.  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |
|    |  |

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

**APPROVED** 

SEP 0 9 2024

F. An estimate of the total annual implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to businesses, local governments, or individuals. *Note:* Do not account for any actual or estimated cost savings that may be realized. Implementation and compliance costs determined shall be those additional costs reasonably expected to be incurred and shall be separately identified for the affected businesses, local governmental units, and individuals.

Costs to Affected Businesses – \$0

Costs to Local Governmental Units – \$0

Costs to Individuals - \$0

**Total Annual Costs – \$0** 

(sum of above amounts)

Give a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the above cost estimate.

Military subunits may have differing dates for deer seasons to accommodate hunting activities around DoD missions, but adjusted days may not exceed the number of days implemented in statewide general seasons. Thus, there is no additional cost to estimate with this regulation.

| ⊥ Yes      | , |
|------------|---|
| □No        | i |
| LI NO      | ä |
| ⊠ Not      | 1 |
| Applicable | ( |
|            | 1 |

If the total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$1.0 million or more in implementation and compliance costs over the initial five-year period following adoption of such rule(s) and regulation(s) that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to businesses, local governmental units and individuals as a result of the proposed rule and regulation, did the agency hold a public hearing to find that the estimated costs have been accurately determined and are necessary for achieving legislative intent? If applicable, document when the public hearing was held, those in attendance, and any pertinent information from the hearing.

If applicable, click here to enter public hearing information.

Provide an estimate to any changes in aggregate state revenues and expenditures for the implementation of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), for both the current fiscal year and next fiscal year.

No change is anticipated. The process of establishing the alternate hunting dates on DOD properties has been initiated for many years.

Provide an estimate of any immediate or long-range economic impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on any individual(s), small employers, and the general public. If no dollar estimate can be given for any individual(s), small employers, and the general public, give specific reasons why no estimate is possible.

No known significant impact has occurred in prior years when dates have been established in this manner and none is anticipated this year.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

APPROVED

SFP 0 9 2024

G. If the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) increases or decreases revenues of cities, counties or school districts, or imposes functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts that will increase expenditures or fiscal liability, describe how the state agency consulted with the League of Kansas Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the Kansas Association of School Boards.

No impact on revenue is anticipated.

H. Describe how the agency consulted and solicited information from businesses, business associations, local governmental units, state agencies, or institutions and members of the public that may be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) or may provide relevant information.

KDWP works with environmental program staff at Ft. Riley, Ft. Leavenworth, and Smoky Hill ANG Range to determine what dates deer seasons can occur with minimal or no impact to DOD missions. Proposed dates were presented and comments requested at four (4) KDWP Commission Public Meetings held on November 30, 2023, January 25, 2024, March 28, 2024, and April 25, 2024.

### **Section IV**

| Does the       | Economic Impact Statement involve any environmental rule(s) and regulation(s)? |
|----------------|--|
| □ Yes          | If yes, complete the remainder of Section IV.                                  |
| $\boxtimes$ No | If no skin the remainder of Section IV   |

A. Describe the capital and annual costs of compliance with the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), and the individuals or entities who would bear the costs.

Click here to enter agency response.

B. Describe the initial and annual costs of implementing and enforcing the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), including the estimated amount of paperwork, and the state agencies, other governmental agencies, or other individuals who will bear the costs.

Click here to enter agency response.

C. Describe the costs that would likely accrue if the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) are not adopted, the individuals or entities who will bear the costs and who will be affected by the failure to adopt the rule(s) and regulation(s).

Click here to enter agency response.

D. Provide a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the costs used.

Click here to enter agency response.

DOB APPROVAL STAMP

APPROVED

SEP 0 9 2024

### Director of the Budget's Worksheet K.A.R. 115-25-9a Temp

| √Yes □No        | The DOB analyst has completed an independent review of the materials provided by the agency and found no materially quantifiable concern with the agency's study results.   |
|-----------------|---|
| ☑Yes □No        | The Director of the Budget has reviewed the analysis of the agency the DOB and finds no material concerns with the study results.   |
| ☑Yes □No        | It is reasonably determined that the implementation and compliance costs will be less than \$1,000,000 expected to be incurred by or passed along to businesses, local governmental units, and individuals as a result of the proposed rule and regulation over the initial five-year period following adoption of such rule and regulation.  |
| □Yes ☑No        | It was not feasible to accurately and comprehensively identify all possible parties affected, or to estimate the percentage of those directly impacted.   |
| □Yes ☑No        | Due to complexity, an undeterminable identification of the number impacted, unknown utilization by those impacted, possible options of those impacted to avoid costs, and timing fluctuations of implementation, it is reasonably determined that the economic feasibility study associated with the proposed rules and regulations would not produce a materially accurate estimate. |
| □Yes □/No       | The proposed rules and regulations are a federal requirement and essential to the delivery and continuity of a vital service.   |
| rules and re    | <b>D:</b> I have performed an independent review of the economic impact study and/or agency gulations application and statements of exceptions. Based on my review and questions 1 e, I approve the Economic Impact Statement for the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).   |
|                 | ETE: I have performed an independent review of the economic impact study and/or agency gulations application and statements of exceptions. I find that more study and information   |
| and regulation  | ave performed an independent review of the economic impact study and/or agency rules ons application and statements of exceptions. Based on my review and with questions 1 believed to be accurate, I deny the Economic Impact Statement for the proposed rules and   |
| Director of the | Budget Comments:  |
|                 |   |
|                 |   |
| DOB APPROVAL    | STAMP   |
| APPROVE         | o for in  |
| SEP 0 9 20      |   |

Revised 07.01.2024